

Shaping Military Leadership Strategy and Impact on State Cohesion

By Col William Kwabiah

Abstract

Military leadership is a crucial aspect of national defence and cohesion, as it helps safeguard a nation's interests and significantly influences the cohesion and stability of states. The essence of military leadership lies in its ability to navigate complex geopolitical landscapes, anticipate threats, and orchestrate effective responses. A cohesive military under capable leadership serves as a bulwark against internal strife and external aggression, safeguarding the integrity and sovereignty of the state. The impact of military leadership strategy on state cohesion necessitates the formulation of clear and achievable objectives, effective communication channels, ethical conduct, and a commitment to the well-being of personnel. The impact of military leadership strategy extends beyond national defence, permeating various facets of statehood. Key elements of military leadership strategy such as mission clarity and objectives, command and control structures, team building and cohesion, training and development, adaptability and innovation, and ethical conduct contribute to a sound military leadership strategy, ensuring unity of effort, maintaining operational tempo, and achieving mission success in complex and dynamic environments.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Military leadership is a crucial aspect of national defence and state cohesion in the ever-evolving international relations and security landscape. It plays a pivotal role in shaping the stability and unity of a state, ensuring that it remains resilient and united in the face of both internal and external challenges. Effective military leadership strategies

can significantly influence a nation's cohesion, ensuring that it remains resilient and united in the face of both internal and external challenges. Effective military leadership promotes a sense of unity and patriotism among citizens through ceremonial events, national holidays, and public displays of military strength. This collective identity is essential for state cohesion, as it binds diverse groups within the nation together under a common banner. In times of crisis, military leaders are often at the forefront of response efforts, managing and mitigating crises effectively to maintain public order and state stability.

The essence of military leadership strategy lies in its ability to navigate complex geopolitical landscapes, anticipate threats, and orchestrate effective responses. By shaping military leadership strategy, states aim to optimize the effectiveness of their armed forces while fostering a sense of unity, purpose, and resilience among service members. A cohesive military, under capable leadership, serves as a bulwark against internal strife and external aggression, safeguarding the integrity and sovereignty of the state. Conversely, deficiencies in military leadership strategy can sow discord within the ranks, undermine morale, and erode trust in state institutions, posing a grave threat to state cohesion.

An exploration of the impact of military leadership strategy on state cohesion necessitates an examination of several key dimensions. First, the formulation of clear and achievable objectives is paramount. Military leaders must articulate strategic goals that align with the broader national interest, providing a sense of direction and purpose to service members. Second, the ability of military leaders to foster adaptability, innovation, and resilience within their ranks is critical to navigating the complexities of modern warfare and geopolitical relations. The impact of military leadership strategy extends beyond the realm of national defence, permeating various facets of statehood. Under effective leadership, the cohesion of the armed forces under effective leadership serves as a unifying force that transcends political divides and reinforces the social contract between the state and its citizens. This write-up aims to highlight the impact of military leadership strategies on state cohesion to enhance understanding and provide practical applications.

2.0 THEORIES OF MILITARY LEADERSHIP STYLES

The Department of the Army Field Manual (22 –100) states that military leadership is not inherent; it depends upon traits that can be developed and upon the application of principles and techniques that can be learned. It is an art which can be acquired, developed, and practised in varying degrees by anyone properly motivated and possessing the mental and physical ability, and the moral integrity expected of a commissioned officer. Leadership includes understanding, analyzing, predicting, and controlling human behaviour. Successful leadership entails the will to lead, together with the character which inspires confidence (Department of the Army Field Manual, pp. 22 – 100).

Hunt's military leadership theory focuses on the unique context and demands of military environments and how these shape leadership practices (Hunt, 1991). The theory provides a comprehensive framework that underscores the complexity and unique demands of leading within military settings and emphasizes leadership's dynamic and situational nature. Critical aspects of Hunt's theory include contextual influence, adaptive leadership, transactional and transformational leadership, leadership development, follower dynamics, and moral and ethical dimensions. Hunt emphasizes amongst others that military leadership (1) is highly influenced by context (2) adaptable to rapidly changing and often chaotic environments, (3) requires continuous leadership development and training, (4) understanding the role of followers as crucial for military leaders, and (5) upholding and modelling the military's core values, ensuring that their actions and decisions are just and honourable.

Wong, Bliese, and McGurk (2003) assert that the difficulty of reviewing military leadership rests on how to clearly define what does and what does not constitute military leadership. The researchers distinguished two complementary ways in which military leadership research can be defined. The first alternative is to focus on studies that use military samples to test theories that have applicability across a broad range of organizations. A second way to define military leadership research is to consider the unique characteristics of the military and focus on studies that attempt to understand the nature of leadership within

this context. This approach, a context-specific orientation, requires more in-depth knowledge of the military and the issues facing the organization. Military leadership strategies will be analysed within the context of Hunt's theory, and under the assumption that readers have a fair understanding of the military and its challenges.

3.0 KEY ELEMENTS OF MILITARY LEADERSHIP STRATEGY

A good military leadership strategy consists of several components. The most important components are a well-defined mission and set of goals, leadership and control, cohesive teamwork, training and development, flexibility and creativity, and moral behaviour (Kernic, Elbe, & Richter, 2023).

3.1 Mission Clarity and Objectives

Mission clarity and setting clear objectives are foundational elements of effective military leadership strategy. In the dynamic and often high-stakes environments where armed forces operate, clarity of purpose and direction is essential for achieving success and maintaining cohesion within the ranks (Mallick, 2020). Mission clarity refers to a shared understanding among all members of the military regarding the overarching purpose of their actions. It involves articulating the mission's goals, objectives, and desired outcomes concisely and understandably. Clear objectives provide specific, measurable targets that define success and guide the actions of military units and personnel. Clear objectives help prioritize tasks, allocate resources effectively, and evaluate progress towards mission accomplishment. In complex and unpredictable environments, ambiguity can lead to confusion, hesitation, and ultimately, failure. However, when leaders provide clarity and direction, it instils confidence, fosters unity of effort, and enhances operational effectiveness. Moreover, mission clarity and clear objectives are essential for promoting adaptability and flexibility in the face of changing circumstances. In dynamic environments where plans often need to be adjusted on the fly, having a clear understanding of the mission's purpose and objectives allows leaders and troops to make informed decisions and pivot as necessary without losing sight of the overarching goals.

3.2 Command and Control Structures

Command and control structures represent a critical element of military leadership strategy, serving as the framework through which military forces are organized, directed, and coordinated to achieve operational objectives. These structures provide the means by which commanders exercise authority, make decisions, and synchronize the efforts of their units. Command and control structures encompass the hierarchical framework and procedures by which military organizations command, coordinate, and communicate in peace or wartimes. These structures are essential for ensuring unity of effort, maintaining operational tempo, and achieving mission success in complex and dynamic environments. Martin Van Creveld (1987), convincing, though circumspect arguments in support of the value of historical analysis to demonstrate the continuity of basic command dilemmas and to deepen our understanding of contemporary problems stemming from the tremendous impact of modern weapons, means of communication, and changes in the structure of command. Command and control structures define the decision-making processes within the military organization. This includes the delegation of decision-making authority, the flow of information, and the mechanisms for assessing and approving courses of action. Communication is a central component of command-and-control structures, enabling commanders to convey orders, share information, and coordinate actions across dispersed units, echelons, and synchronization of military operations across multiple domains and components. This involves integrating the efforts of ground, air, maritime, and cyber forces, as well as support elements such as logistics, intelligence, and medical services. Effective coordination and synchronization ensure that all elements of the force are working towards common objectives and complement each other's efforts. Military command and control structures must be flexible and adaptable to accommodate changes in the operational environment and emerging threats. Flexibility and adaptability are consistent with Hunt's theory of military leadership and are essential for maintaining operational tempo and exploiting opportunities in the battlespace.

3.3 Team Building and Cohesion

Military leaders must build cohesive teams that can work together efficiently to achieve common goals. This involves fostering a positive team culture, promoting collaboration, and recognizing and leveraging the strengths of individual team members. Cohesion is essential for military effectiveness as it enhances trust, cooperation, and mutual support among team members. Units with high cohesion are more resilient and perform better under stress. Team building activities often involve various forms of exercises that improve communication skills within the team. Through team building, military units learn to adapt to changing circumstances and overcome challenges together. Activities that require problem-solving, decision-making, and creativity help develop adaptive capabilities within the team, enabling them to respond effectively to unpredictable situations on the battlefield (Army Team Building, 2015). Leaders emerge naturally during team activities, and these experiences help identify individuals with leadership potential. Building strong teams contributes to the overall resilience and well-being of the armed forces. Knowing they have a supportive team to rely on can help soldiers cope with the challenges and stresses of military life, reducing the risk of burnout and mental health issues. Team building provides opportunities for leadership development within the unit. Hunt's theory of military leadership posits that training such as formal education, practical experiences, and mentorship are essential for leader development.

3.4 Training and Development

Continuous training and development are essential for maintaining readiness and improving performance. Military leaders must invest in the training and professional development of their teams to ensure they are prepared for the challenges and tasks assigned. Training and development represent fundamental pillars of military leadership strategy, crucial for enhancing the effectiveness, readiness, and cohesion of the armed forces. Training encompasses a comprehensive array of activities designed to impart the knowledge, skills, and abilities required for military personnel to fulfil their duties effectively. From

basic combat training to specialized technical instruction, training programmes are tailored to equip soldiers with the competencies necessary to succeed in diverse operational environments. Moreover, training serves to instil discipline, resilience, and a sense of camaraderie among service members, fostering cohesion and teamwork within units. Development, on the other hand, extends beyond basic training to encompass continuous education, mentorship, and career progression initiatives. Developmental programmes should aim to cultivate leadership qualities, critical thinking skills, and adaptability among military personnel, enabling them to assume positions of greater responsibility and tackle complex challenges with confidence. Leader development is the deliberate, continuous, sequential, and progressive process that grows soldiers and Army civilians into competent and confident leaders capable of decisive action. Leader development is achieved through the life-long synthesis of the knowledge, skills, and experiences gained through training and education opportunities in the institutional, operational, and self-development domains. By investing in the professional growth and advancement of its personnel, the military strengthens its leadership cadre and enhances overall organizational effectiveness (Army Training and Leader Development, 2017).

3.5 Integration of Training and Development

The integration of training and development into military leadership strategy yields multifaceted benefits. Firstly, it enhances operational readiness by ensuring that personnel possess the requisite skills and knowledge to execute their duties proficiently. Through realistic and rigorous training exercises, soldiers are exposed to simulated combat scenarios, enabling them to hone their tactical proficiency and decision-making abilities under pressure. Furthermore, training and development contribute to the cultivation of a culture of continuous improvement within the military. By promoting a mindset of lifelong learning and professional development, military leaders foster innovation, adaptability, and agility within their ranks. This enables the armed forces to stay abreast with evolving threats, embrace emerging technologies, and respond effectively to dynamic operational

environments. Moreover, training and development initiatives play a pivotal role in nurturing leadership talent within the military ranks. Through mentorship programmes, leadership courses, and experiential learning opportunities, aspiring leaders are provided with the guidance, mentorship, and practical experience necessary to excel in positions of command. By cultivating a cadre of competent and ethical leaders, the military ensures continuity of leadership and fosters a culture of excellence throughout the organization.

3.6 Adaptability and Innovation

Adaptability and innovation are critical components of military leadership strategy, especially in today's rapidly changing and complex operational environments. Military leaders must be adaptable in their approach to tactics and operations (Hunt, 1991). They must be able to quickly adjust their plans in response to changing circumstances, unexpected challenges, or new information on the battlefield. This flexibility allows military units to maintain the initiative and respond effectively to dynamic situations. Rodrigues (2015) posits that the nature of warfare is constantly evolving, with new threats emerging and military leaders must be innovative in identifying and responding to these emerging threats, whether they are technological, doctrinal, or strategic in nature. This may involve developing new technologies, tactics, or strategies to counter evolving threats effectively. Technological advancements can significantly impact military operations, providing new capabilities and changing the nature of warfare. Military leaders must be adept at adopting and integrating new technologies into their operations to maintain a competitive edge. This requires a willingness to embrace innovation and a proactive approach to leveraging technological advancements for military advantage.

3.7 Cultural and Social Adaptation

Military operations often involve engagement with diverse cultures and societies. Effective military leaders must be adaptable and culturally sensitive, able to navigate complex social dynamics and build relationships with local populations. This adaptability is crucial

for winning hearts and minds, gaining local support, and achieving strategic objectives in various operational environments.

3.8 Encouraging Innovation Within the Ranks

Military leaders play a vital role in fostering a culture of innovation within their organizations. By encouraging creativity, risk-taking, and out-of-the-box thinking among their subordinates, leaders can harness the collective intelligence and creativity of their teams to develop innovative solutions to complex problems. This may involve implementing initiatives such as innovation labs, hackathons, or idea-sharing platforms to stimulate innovation at all levels of the organization.

3.9 Continuous Learning and Improvement

Adaptability and innovation require a commitment to continuous learning and improvement. Military leaders must cultivate a culture of learning within their organizations, encouraging professional development, experimentation, and reflection on lessons learned from past experiences. By continuously adapting and innovating, military units can maintain their competitive edge and effectively respond to evolving challenges.

3.10 Ethical Conduct

Ethical conduct stands as a cornerstone of military leadership strategy, shaping the behaviour of armed forces and underpinning their effectiveness, legitimacy, and cohesion. At its core, ethical conduct encompasses adherence to a set of moral principles, values, and codes of conduct that govern the behaviour of service members in both peacetime and conflict. These principles are rooted in the fundamental tenets of humanity, integrity, respect for human dignity, and the rule of law. Upholding ethical standards is not merely a matter of compliance with regulations but reflects a commitment to the highest ideals of military professionalism and honour. Ethical conduct is crucial in upholding the legitimacy and reputation of the military both domestically and internationally. In an era of heightened scrutiny and accountability, adherence to ethical standards is essential

for preserving public trust and confidence in the armed forces. By demonstrating a commitment to ethical conduct, military leaders enhance the credibility of the military as a responsible and ethical actor on the global stage, strengthening diplomatic relationships and alliances, and fostering respect for international norms and laws. Hunt's theory of military leadership espouses the need for military leaders to uphold and model the military's core values, ensuring that their actions and decisions are ethical and just.

4.0 UNDERSTANDING STATE COHESION

State cohesion refers to the degree of unity, stability, and resilience within a nation-state, reflecting the strength of its social, political, and institutional fabric. It is the extent to which members of society share common values, identities, and norms, fostering a sense of belonging and solidarity. National cohesion encompasses the ability of a state to maintain internal order, withstand external pressures, and pursue common goals despite diverse interests and challenges. Factors such as cultural homogeneity, social inclusion, and trust in institutions contribute to social cohesion. A cohesive society is characterized by strong social bonds, mutual support networks, and a shared commitment to the common good. Understanding state cohesion requires an examination of various factors that contribute to its creation and maintenance such as political, economic, cultural, security and international cohesion.

4.1 Social Cohesion

Writing in 1897, Durkheim defined social cohesion as the interdependence of individuals within a society and identified it as the absence of latent social conflict and the presence of strong social bonds (Fonseca et al., 2019). Building on this, social cohesion is generally seen as a collective attribute, a 'communal "togetherness" in a collectivity of people' (Delhey et al., 2018, p. 430). Within this collective framing, social cohesion is often described as a kind of social 'glue' or 'bond' that emphasises reciprocity, mutuality, commonality, togetherness, and community (Holloway & Sturridge, 2022).

4.2 Political Cohesion

Political cohesion reflects the stability and legitimacy of state institutions, as well as the effectiveness of governance mechanisms in managing conflicts and addressing grievances. It involves the adherence to democratic principles, respect for the rule of law, and the protection of individual rights and freedoms. Political cohesion is essential for fostering trust in government, ensuring political stability, and facilitating peaceful transitions of power.

4.3 Economic Cohesion

This refers to the equitable distribution of wealth and opportunities within a society, as well as the resilience of the economy to external shocks and internal disparities. Factors such as income inequality, access to education and healthcare, and economic mobility influence economic cohesion. A cohesive economy promotes social mobility, reduces poverty, and enhances the overall well-being of the population.

4.5 Cultural Cohesion

This encompasses the shared heritage, traditions, and values that bind members of a society together, contributing to a sense of national identity and solidarity. Cultural cohesion is nurtured through the preservation of cultural heritage, promotion of cultural diversity, and recognition of the rights of minority groups. A cohesive culture fosters and enhances resilience to external influences and strengthens national unity.

4.6 Security Cohesion

Security cohesion refers to the ability of a state to maintain internal security, defend its borders, and protect its citizens from threats. It involves the effectiveness of security forces, the rule of law, and the resilience of critical infrastructure. Security cohesion is essential for maintaining public order, deterring aggression, and preserving territorial integrity.

4.7 International Cohesion

This reflects the ability of a state to engage constructively with the international community, uphold its commitments to international law and treaties, and pursue its interests through diplomacy and cooperation. It involves the cultivation of diplomatic relationships, participation in multilateral institutions, and adherence to norms of peaceful conflict resolution. International cohesion enhances a state's influence and credibility on the global stage, facilitating cooperation on shared challenges such as climate change, terrorism, and pandemics.

5.0 CHALLENGES CONFRONTING STATE COHESION

5.1 Regional Perspective on State Cohesion

State cohesion in West Africa varies significantly across different countries in the region due to various historical, political, economic, and social factors. However, there are some common themes and challenges that can be observed when considering state cohesion in the sub-region. Many West African countries were colonized by European powers and gained independence in the mid-20th century. The arbitrary drawing of borders by colonial powers created ethnically and culturally diverse states, leading to challenges in building cohesive national identities. West Africa is home to numerous ethnic groups, languages, and religious communities. In some countries, ethnic and religious differences have been sources of tension and conflict, challenging state cohesion. Managing diversity and promoting inclusivity are ongoing challenges for many West African states.

5.2 Weak Governance and Corruption

Weak governance, corruption, and ineffective institutions have undermined state cohesion in some countries. When citizens perceive their governments as illegitimate or corrupt, it can erode trust in state institutions and contribute to social fragmentation.

5.3 Security Challenges

West Africa faces various security challenges, including terrorism, insurgency, organized crime, climate change and intercommunal violence. These threats can undermine state authority, destabilize communities, and exacerbate existing tensions, posing significant challenges to state cohesion.

5.4 Social/Economic Disparities

Economic inequalities and disparities in wealth distribution can create social divisions and undermine state cohesion. High levels of poverty, unemployment, and economic marginalization contribute to social unrest and instability.

5.6 Regional Dynamics

Regional integration initiatives such as the Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS) aim to promote economic cooperation, political stability, and peace in the region. However, regional dynamics can also influence state cohesion, with cross-border issues such as migration, trade, and security having implications for national stability.

5.7 External Actors

Finally, External actors, including neighbouring countries, regional powers, and international organizations, can influence state cohesion. Foreign interventions, geopolitical rivalries, and external support to non-state actors can shape internal dynamics and contribute to instability in the region.

6.0 IMPACT OF MILITARY LEADERSHIP STRATEGY ON STATE COHESION

The impact of military leadership strategy on state cohesion is profound and far-reaching, as the effectiveness and unity of the armed forces play a crucial role in shaping the stability, resilience, and legitimacy of the state. Wong et al. (2003) noted that while many thought that the role

of the military would be diminished after the fall of the Berlin Wall, events in the Persian Gulf, Haiti, Bosnia, Somalia, and Afghanistan have demonstrated the continual use of the military as a key element of national power and cohesion. Military leadership strategy therefore influences regional and state cohesion through various mechanisms, each contributing to the overall strength and unity of the nation-state. Here are some keyways in which military leadership strategy impacts state cohesion:

6.1 Unity of Purpose

By providing a sense of purpose and direction, military leaders foster unity among service members, instilling a shared commitment to defending the state and upholding its values. A cohesive military, unified by a common purpose, strengthens the overall cohesion of the state by reinforcing the social contract between the government and its citizens.

6.2 Trust and Confidence

Effective military leadership builds trust and confidence among service members, as well as between the military and civilian leadership (Brandebo, 2015). By demonstrating integrity, competence, and commitment to the welfare of their troops, military leaders enhance morale and cohesion within the ranks. Moreover, trust in the military's ability to protect the nation fosters confidence among the civilian population, bolstering the state's resilience and stability.

6.3 Institutional Resilience

Military leadership strategy emphasizes the importance of building resilient institutions capable of withstanding internal and external challenges. By promoting professionalism, adherence to legal norms, and respect for democratic principles, military leaders strengthen the institutional foundations of the armed forces. Institutional resilience enhances the state's ability to respond effectively to crises, maintain public order, and uphold the rule of law, thereby contributing to overall state cohesion.

6.4 National Identity and Unity:

Military leadership strategy plays a crucial role in shaping national identity and fostering a sense of unity among diverse populations. Through symbols, ceremonies, and rituals, military leaders reinforce national pride and patriotism, promoting a shared sense of belonging and solidarity. By celebrating the sacrifices and achievements of the armed forces, military leadership strategy reinforces the bonds of citizenship and strengthens the collective identity of the nation.

6.5 Effective Crisis Management

Military leadership strategy prepares the armed forces to respond effectively to crises, whether they are natural disasters, terrorist attacks, or armed conflicts. By developing contingency plans, coordinating with civilian authorities, and mobilizing resources efficiently, military leaders demonstrate their capacity to protect the state and safeguard its citizens. Effective crisis management enhances public confidence in the government's ability to maintain security and stability, contributing to overall state cohesion. For example, recently in Nigeria, gunmen abducted hundreds of school children for days. An operation by the Nigeria Armed Forces led to the rescue of the children and no ransom was paid. This is a case of effective crisis management that leads to public confidence and state cohesion.

7.0 PRACTICAL APPLICATION AND LESSONS LEARNT

Here are a few case study examples depicting practical applications of how the shaping of military leadership strategy impacted state cohesion in Africa. In 1994, Rwanda experienced one of the most devastating genocides in modern history, resulting in the loss of approximately 800,000 lives (Yarlagadda, 2022). The Rwandan Patriotic Front, led by figures like General Paul Kagame, played a pivotal role in ending the genocide and stabilizing the country. The RPF's military leadership strategy focused on rapid mobilization, strategic coordination, and decisive action to halt the violence and restore order. By demonstrating effective leadership and unity of purpose, the RPF not only brought an end to the genocide but also laid the foundation for reconciliation

and state-building efforts in Rwanda. The cohesive military leadership strategy employed by the RPF contributed to state cohesion by fostering a sense of national unity and resilience in the aftermath of the crisis.

Kenya has been actively involved in efforts to combat the Al-Shabaab terrorist group in neighbouring Somalia. The Kenyan Defence Forces, under the leadership of figures like General Robert Kibochi, have conducted military operations aimed at degrading the capabilities of Al-Shabaab and enhancing security along the Kenya-Somalia border. The KDF's military leadership strategy emphasizes cross-border cooperation, intelligence-sharing, and joint operations with regional partners as part of the African Union Mission in Somalia (Lusiola, 2021). By working collaboratively with other African nations and international partners, the KDF's efforts have contributed to regional stability and security, thereby bolstering state cohesion both domestically and within the East African region.

Nigeria has grappled with the Boko Haram insurgency, which has destabilized parts of the country's northeast region. The Nigerian military has implemented various strategies to counter the insurgency, including offensive operations, counterinsurgency tactics, and community engagement initiatives (Adeniyi, 2019). Despite facing significant challenges, including resource constraints and internal corruption, the Nigerian military's leadership has demonstrated resilience and determination in confronting the threat posed by Boko Haram. Despite the challenges, the Nigeria military's efforts have contributed to mitigating the impact of the insurgency and maintained an appreciable level of state cohesion in Nigeria.

8.0 CONCLUSION

Shaping military leadership strategies to enhance state cohesion is a multifaceted endeavour that requires a comprehensive approach integrating vision, communication, adaptability, and ethical leadership. Good military leadership strategy consists of several components such as well-defined mission and set of goals, leadership and control,

cohesive teamwork, training and development, flexibility and creativity, and moral behaviour. The essence of military leadership strategy lies in the ability to navigate complex geopolitical landscapes, anticipate threats, and orchestrate effective responses. However, the difficulty of reviewing military leadership rests on how to clearly define what does and what does not constitute military leadership. That kind of leadership depends on context, continuous training, upholding ethical standards, and the highest level of innovation and adaptation. Effective communication ensures that all levels of the military are synchronized, while adaptability allows the military to respond effectively to dynamic challenges. The impact of military leadership strategy on state cohesion is profound and far-reaching, as the effectiveness and unity of the armed forces play a crucial role in shaping the stability, resilience, and legitimacy of the state.

Global security events have buttressed the military's key role in national power and cohesion. Military leadership strategy therefore influences regional and state cohesion through various mechanisms, each contributing to the overall strength and unity of the nation-state. Ethical leadership upholds the integrity and trust necessary for cohesive action. Ultimately, a well-crafted military leadership strategy not only strengthens military effectiveness but also reinforces the stability and unity of the state, contributing to national security and resilience.

The evolving global and regional landscape, in conjunction with shifting societal norms and technological advancements, compels military commanders to chart unexplored territory while upholding the values of professionalism, ethics, and national security. By promoting professionalism, adherence to legal norms, and respect for democratic principles, military leaders strengthen the institutional foundations of the armed forces. Finally, military leadership strategy prepares the armed forces to respond effectively to crises, whether they are natural disasters, terrorist attacks, or armed conflicts. By developing contingency plans, coordinating with civilian authorities, and mobilizing resources efficiently, military leaders demonstrate their capacity to protect the state and safeguard its citizens.

References

- Adeniyi, K. (2019). Information Operations as a Viable Tool for Counter-Insurgency Operations: An Appraisal of Counter-Insurgency Operations in the Lake Chad Region. *Master's Thesis*. Retrieved from <https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/pdfs/AD1104762.pdf>
- Army Team Building. (2015). Department of the Army. Army Knowledge Online <https://armypubs.us.army.mil/doctrine/index.html>.
- Army Training and Leader Development. (2017). Department of the Army. 350-1.
- Brandebo, M. F. (2015). Military leadership and trust. Kalstard University Studies Dissertation.
- Bond, B. (1987). Battlefield C³I Throughout History [Review of *Command in War.*, by M. Van Creveld]. *International Security*, 11(4), 125–129. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2538839>
- Creveld, M.V. (1987). *Command in war*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.
- Delhey, J., Boehnke, K., Dragolov, G., Ignácz, Z. S., Larsen, M., Lorenz, J., & Koch, M. (2018). Social cohesion and its correlates: A comparison of Western and Asian societies. *Comparative Sociology*, 17(3-4), 426-455. doi:10.1163/15691330-12341479
- Fonseca, X., Lukosch, S., & Brazier, F. (2019). Social cohesion revisited: A new definition and how to characterize it. *Innovation: The European Journal of Social Science Research*, 32(2), 231-253. doi:10.1080/13511610.2018.1497480.
- Fukuyama, F. (2004). *State building: Governance and world order in the 21st century*. Cornell University Press.
- Holloway, K., & Sturridge, C. (2022). *Social cohesion in displacement: The state of play*. Overseas Development Institute.
- Horn, B., & Walker, R. W. (Eds.). (2008). *The military leadership handbook*. Kingston: Canadian Defence Academy.
- Hunt, J.G. (1991). *Leadership: A new synthesis*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Hunt, J.G., Osborn, R.N., & Larson, L. (1975). Upper-level technical orientation and first-level leadership within a non-contingency and contingency framework. *Academy of Management Journal*, 18, 476-488.

- Hunt, J.G., Kc Ropo, A. (1992). Stratified systems theory and dynamic case study perspectives: A symbiosis. In R.L. Phillips & J.G. Hunt (Eds.), *Strategic leadership: A Multi organizational-level perspective* (pp. 179-194). Westport, CT: Quorum.
- Kernic, F., Elbe, M., Richter, G. (2023). Military Leadership: Concepts and Theoretical Approaches. In: Sookermany, A.M. (eds) *Handbook of Military Sciences*. Springer, Cham. doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-02866-4_61-1
- Lusiola, M. A. (2021). Military diplomacy strategies applied by AMISOM in restoration of peace and security in the Horn of Africa. *African Journal of Empirical Research*, 2(1), 41-55 <https://ajernet.net/ajernet> ISSN 2709-2607
- Mallick, P. K. (2020). Military Leadership - The Changing Paradigm. Research Gate Publication. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/344325989>
- Rodrigues, L. C. E. (2015). Modern military operations: Adaptability a modern requirement for leadership.
- Shivane, A. B. (2023). Military Leadership Challenges: Navigating Complexities of 21st Century. *Centre for Joint Warfare Studies*. Retrieved from www.cenjows.in/web-article/
- Wong, L., Bliese, P., & McGurk, D. (2003). Military Leadership: A Context Specific Review. *US Army Research*, 16. <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/usarmyresearch/16>
- Yarlagadda, S. (2022). Growth from Genocide: The Story of Rwanda's Healthcare System. *Havard International Review*. Retrieved from <https://hir.harvard.edu/growth-from-genocide-the-story-of-rwandas-healthcare-system/>